Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/691,871	SCHWINKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wesley L. Kim	2688
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Wesley L. Kim.	(3)	
(2) <u>Frank Nicholas</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 February 2006	Time: <u>n/a</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Claims 1, 9, and 17  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The examiner suggested that the applicant add the limitations that the case into a better condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
W. Cen Frim		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office/ PTOL-413B (04-03)